

Measuring CP Violation and Testing Factorization in $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ Decays

Zhi-zhong Xing¹

Sektion Physik, Universität München, Theresienstrasse 37A, 80333 München, Germany

Abstract

We show that the CP -violating phases β and β' can respectively be determined from the time-dependent measurements of $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ decays, whose final states are non- CP eigenstates. The penguin contributions to the mixing-induced interference quantities are expected to be about 4% or smaller. We also point out two observables of $O(1)$, which are pure functions of decay constants and form-factors, for a clean test of the factorization hypothesis in neutral- B decays into two heavy charmed mesons.

¹ E-mail: Xing@hep.physik.uni-muenchen.de

1 Introduction

In the first-round experiments of B -meson factories, the measurement of $B_d \rightarrow D^+ D^-$, $D^{*+} D^-$, $D^+ D^{*-}$ and $D^{*+} D^{*-}$ decay modes will be available. These channels are expected to have fairly large branching ratios (of order 10^{-4} to 10^{-3}) and involve the CP -violating phase

$$\beta \equiv \arg \left(-\frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{cb}^* V_{cd}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where V_{ij} (for $i = u, c, t$ and $j = d, s, b$) are elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. A determination of β from CP asymmetries of $B_d \rightarrow D^{(*)+} D^{(*)-}$ will be useful, not only to cross-check the extraction of β from $B_d \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$, but also to shed some light on the relevant penguin effect and final-state interactions [1]. In addition, it should be important to test whether the factorization approximation, which works well for a variety of B transitions into one light and one heavy mesons such as $D\pi$ and $D\rho$ [2], remains valid for the B decays into two heavy charmed mesons.

In the B_s^0 - $\bar{B}_s^0$ system, the similar decay channels are $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$, $D_s^{*+} D_s^-$, $D_s^+ D_s^{*-}$ and $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$. The branching ratios of these transitions are expected to be of order 10^{-2} , therefore they are rapidly accessible at a high-energy B factory. The CP -violating phase

$$\beta' \equiv \arg \left(-\frac{V_{tb}^* V_{ts}}{V_{cb}^* V_{cs}} \right), \quad (2)$$

which is negligibly small in the standard model ², will be determinable from CP asymmetries of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$. The measured value of $|\beta'|$, if remarkably larger than 1° , would clearly imply the existence of new physics in B_s^0 - $\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing. Whether the factorization approximation works well for such decay modes is also an open question.

As neutral- B decays into CP eigenstates ($B_d \rightarrow D^+ D^-$, etc.) have been studied in some detail [1, 4], the present note concentrates only on $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ decays, whose final states are non- CP eigenstates. These decay modes, in comparison with $B_d \rightarrow D^\pm \pi^\mp$ [5], have an obvious advantage for the study of possible CP violation: the amplitudes of B^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ into a common DD^* state are comparable in magnitude and therefore large interference between them becomes possible. We show that the weak phases β and β' can respectively be extracted from the time-dependent measurements of $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ decays. A large CP asymmetry is expected to appear in the B_d channels

²Note that this result is independent of any specific parametrization of the CKM matrix. Taking into account $V_{ub}^* V_{us} + V_{cb}^* V_{cs} + V_{tb}^* V_{ts} = 0$ and $|V_{cb} V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb} V_{ts}| \gg |V_{ub} V_{us}|$ [3], one arrives straightforwardly at $|\beta'| \leq \arctan |(V_{ub} V_{us}) / (V_{cb} V_{cs})| \sim 1^\circ$.

within the standard model. The penguin contributions to the mixing-induced interference quantities in these decay modes are estimated to be about 4% or smaller. Thus it is a good approximation to neglect the relevant penguin effects. We also show that the time-integrated measurements allow us to determine two parameters of $O(1)$, which are pure functions of decay constants and form-factors in the factorization approximation:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_d &= \frac{f_D}{f_{D^*}} \cdot \frac{A_0^{B_d D^*}(m_{D^*}^2)}{F_1^{B_d D}(m_{D^*}^2)}, \\ \zeta_s &= \frac{f_{D_s}}{f_{D_s^*}} \cdot \frac{A_0^{B_s D_s^*}(m_{D_s^*}^2)}{F_1^{B_s D_s}(m_{D_s^*}^2)}.\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Comparing the experimental and theoretical results of ζ_d or ζ_s will provide a clean test of the factorization hypothesis for neutral- B decays into two heavy charmed mesons. Finally we give some brief comments on possible effects of new physics on B^0 - $\bar{B}^0$ mixing and CP asymmetries.

2 β , β' and CP asymmetries

The transitions $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ can occur through both tree-level and loop-induced (penguin) quark diagrams. The penguin contribution to the overall amplitude of each decay mode is tentatively neglected, as its magnitude is small enough in comparison with that of the tree-level amplitude (see the next section for a detailed discussion about the penguin effect). With the help of this good approximation, one may define two interference quantities between decay amplitudes and B_d^0 - $\bar{B}_d^0$ mixing:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{D^{*+} D^-} &\equiv \frac{q_d}{p_d} \cdot \frac{A(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-)}{A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-)} = \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{tb} V_{td}^*} \cdot \frac{V_{cb} V_{cd}^*}{V_{cb}^* V_{cd}} \zeta_d e^{i\delta_d} = \zeta_d e^{i(\delta_d + 2\beta)}, \\ \bar{\lambda}_{D^{*-} D^+} &\equiv \frac{p_d}{q_d} \cdot \frac{A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+)}{A(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+)} = \frac{V_{tb} V_{td}^*}{V_{tb}^* V_{td}} \cdot \frac{V_{cb}^* V_{cd}}{V_{cb} V_{cd}^*} \zeta_d e^{i\delta_d} = \zeta_d e^{i(\delta_d - 2\beta)},\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where $q_d/p_d = (V_{tb}^* V_{td})/(V_{tb} V_{td}^*)$ describes the B_d^0 - $\bar{B}_d^0$ mixing phase in the box-diagram approximation, ζ_d and δ_d denote the ratio of the real hadronic matrix elements and the strong phase difference between $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$ and $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$. For the similar transitions B_s^0 vs $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-$ and $D_s^{*-} D_s^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{D_s^{*+} D_s^-} &\equiv \frac{q_s}{p_s} \cdot \frac{A(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-)}{A(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-)} = \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{ts}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \cdot \frac{V_{cb} V_{cs}^*}{V_{cb}^* V_{cs}} \zeta_s e^{i\delta_s} = \zeta_s e^{i(\delta_s + 2\beta')}, \\ \bar{\lambda}_{D_s^{*-} D_s^+} &\equiv \frac{p_s}{q_s} \cdot \frac{A(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*-} D_s^+)}{A(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*-} D_s^+)} = \frac{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*}{V_{tb}^* V_{ts}} \cdot \frac{V_{cb}^* V_{cs}}{V_{cb} V_{cs}^*} \zeta_s e^{i\delta_s} = \zeta_s e^{i(\delta_s - 2\beta')},\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

in which the notations are self-explanatory. These quantities consist of both weak and strong phases and will affect the decay rates in a significant way. Their imaginary parts are of particular interest for the study of CP violation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-} &= \zeta_d \sin(\delta_d + 2\beta) , \\
\text{Im}\lambda_{D^{*-}D^+} &= \zeta_d \sin(\delta_d - 2\beta) , \\
\text{Im}\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-} &= \zeta_s \sin(\delta_s + 2\beta') , \\
\text{Im}\lambda_{D_s^{*-}D_s^+} &= \zeta_s \sin(\delta_s - 2\beta') .
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It should be noted, however, that $\text{Im}\lambda_f$ and $\text{Im}\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{f}}$ (for $f = D^{*+}D^-$ or $D_s^{*+}D_s^-$) themselves are not CP -violating observables! Only their difference $\text{Im}(\lambda_f - \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{f}})$, which will vanish for $\beta = \beta' = 0$ or π , measures the CP asymmetry.

The generic formulas for decay rates of neutral- B into non- CP eigenstates have been given in the literature (see, e.g., Refs. [4, 6]). For the decay modes under consideration, their time-dependent rates read as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} \left[\begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ B_d^0 \end{matrix} (t) \rightarrow D^{*+}D^- \right] &\propto e^{-\Gamma_d t} \left[\frac{1 + \zeta_d^2}{2} \begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ + \end{matrix} \frac{1 - \zeta_d^2}{2} \cos(x_d \Gamma_d t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \begin{matrix} \langle + \rangle \\ - \end{matrix} \zeta_d \sin(\delta_d + 2\beta) \sin(x_d \Gamma_d t) \right] , \\
\mathcal{R} \left[\begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ B_d^0 \end{matrix} (t) \rightarrow D^{*-}D^+ \right] &\propto e^{-\Gamma_d t} \left[\frac{1 + \zeta_d^2}{2} \begin{matrix} \langle + \rangle \\ - \end{matrix} \frac{1 - \zeta_d^2}{2} \cos(x_d \Gamma_d t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ + \end{matrix} \zeta_d \sin(\delta_d - 2\beta) \sin(x_d \Gamma_d t) \right] ;
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and ³

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} \left[\begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ B_s^0 \end{matrix} (t) \rightarrow D_s^{*+}D_s^- \right] &\propto e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[\frac{1 + \zeta_s^2}{2} \begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ + \end{matrix} \frac{1 - \zeta_s^2}{2} \cos(x_s \Gamma_s t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \begin{matrix} \langle + \rangle \\ - \end{matrix} \zeta_s \sin(\delta_s + 2\beta') \sin(x_s \Gamma_s t) \right] , \\
\mathcal{R} \left[\begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ B_s^0 \end{matrix} (t) \rightarrow D_s^{*-}D_s^+ \right] &\propto e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[\frac{1 + \zeta_s^2}{2} \begin{matrix} \langle + \rangle \\ - \end{matrix} \frac{1 - \zeta_s^2}{2} \cos(x_s \Gamma_s t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \begin{matrix} \langle - \rangle \\ + \end{matrix} \zeta_s \sin(\delta_s - 2\beta') \sin(x_s \Gamma_s t) \right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $x_d = 0.723 \pm 0.032$ and $x_s > 14.0$ (at the 95% confidence level) are B_d^0 - $\bar{B}_d^0$ and B_s^0 - $\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing parameters [3], and Γ_d and Γ_s denote decay widths. Note that the four decay rates

³Here we neglect the tiny effect from the decay width difference between two B_s mass eigenstates. The latest theoretical calculation [7] predicts $\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s = 0.054_{-0.032}^{+0.016}$, which is negligibly small for our purpose.

in Eq. (7) or Eq. (8) share a common factor, since the penguin effects in these decays have been neglected.

The time-dependent measurements of $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$, as shown above, allow us to extract the weak phases β and β' up to a four-fold ambiguity:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2(2\beta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 - S_+ S_-) \pm \sqrt{(1 - S_+^2)(1 - S_-^2)} \right], \\ \sin^2(2\beta') &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 - S'_+ S'_-) \pm \sqrt{(1 - S'^2_+)(1 - S'^2_-)} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

where $S_\pm \equiv \sin(\delta_d \pm 2\beta)$ and $S'_\pm \equiv \sin(\delta_s \pm 2\beta')$. Indeed only a two-fold ambiguity in $\sin(2\beta)$ and $\sin(2\beta')$ remains, because $\sin(2\beta) > 0$ and $\sin(2\beta') \sim 0$ are expected to be true within the standard model [3]. The strong phases δ_d and δ_s can also be determined from Eqs. (7) and (8) up to a four-fold ambiguity:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2 \delta_d &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 + S_+ S_-) \pm \sqrt{(1 - S_+^2)(1 - S_-^2)} \right], \\ \sin^2 \delta_s &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 + S'_+ S'_-) \pm \sqrt{(1 - S'^2_+)(1 - S'^2_-)} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

If the final-state interactions were insignificant in these decay modes, δ_d and δ_s might not deviate too much from zero. In this case, $S_+ \approx -S_-$ and $S'_+ \approx -S'_-$ would be a good approximation.

The measurement of $S_\pm$ can be carried out at both asymmetric e^+e^- B -meson factories and high-luminosity hadron machines. In contrast, a measurement of $S'_\pm$ is only available at hadronic B factories. With all such time-dependent measurements, the ratios of hadronic matrix elements ζ_d and ζ_s are determinable. Note that these two real parameters can actually be determined from the time-integrated measurements at a symmetric e^+e^- collider or at hadron facilities of B physics. For example, one may integrate the decay rates in Eqs. (7) and (8) over $t \in [0, \infty)$ and obtain ratios of two decay rates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{R}(\bar{B}_{d,\text{phys}}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-)}{\mathcal{R}(B_{d,\text{phys}}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-)} &= \frac{(2 + x_d^2) \zeta_d^2 + x_d^2}{x_d^2 \zeta_d^2 + (2 + x_d^2)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{R}(\bar{B}_{s,\text{phys}}^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-)}{\mathcal{R}(B_{s,\text{phys}}^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-)} &= \frac{(2 + x_s^2) \zeta_s^2 + x_s^2}{x_s^2 \zeta_s^2 + (2 + x_s^2)},\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

where the subscript “phys” implies that the mixing effect has been taken into account for B_q^0 and $\bar{B}_q^0$ mesons ($q = d$ or s). Obviously the first relation of Eq. (11) allows the determination of ζ_d . Once x_s is known, ζ_s can be extracted from the second relation of Eq. (11). If a reliable calculation of ζ_s were possible, then the magnitude of x_s would reversely be obtained.

For the B_d and B_s decay modes discussed above, their time-dependent CP asymmetries can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_d(t) &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{R}[B_d^0(t) \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-] - \mathcal{R}[\bar{B}_d^0(t) \rightarrow D^{*-}D^+]}{\mathcal{R}[B_d^0(t) \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-] + \mathcal{R}[\bar{B}_d^0(t) \rightarrow D^{*-}D^+]} , \\ \mathcal{A}_s(t) &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{R}[B_s^0(t) \rightarrow D_s^{*+}D_s^-] - \mathcal{R}[\bar{B}_s^0(t) \rightarrow D_s^{*-}D_s^+]}{\mathcal{R}[B_s^0(t) \rightarrow D_s^{*+}D_s^-] + \mathcal{R}[\bar{B}_s^0(t) \rightarrow D_s^{*-}D_s^+]} .\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Taking Eqs. (7) and (8) into account, we explicitly obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_d(t) = \frac{-2\zeta_d \sin(2\beta) \cos \delta_d \sin(x_d \Gamma_d t)}{(1 + \zeta_d^2) + (1 - \zeta_d^2) \cos(x_d \Gamma_d t) - 2\zeta_d \cos(2\beta) \sin \delta_d \sin(x_d \Gamma_d t)} , \quad (13)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_s(t) = \frac{-2\zeta_s \sin(2\beta') \cos \delta_s \sin(x_s \Gamma_s t)}{(1 + \zeta_s^2) + (1 - \zeta_s^2) \cos(x_s \Gamma_s t) - 2\zeta_s \cos(2\beta') \sin \delta_s \sin(x_s \Gamma_s t)} . \quad (14)$$

Clearly these two formulas would be simplified to the familiar form, if $\zeta_{d(s)} = 1$ and $\delta_{d(s)} = 0$ held (i.e., if the final states were CP eigenstates). A necessary condition for large CP violation is that ζ_d or ζ_s does not deviate much from one. Subsequently one can see that this condition is indeed satisfied – both ζ_d and ζ_s are of $O(1)$, estimated in the factorization approximation.

3 Factorization and penguin effect

Now we calculate the decay amplitudes of $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm}D^\mp$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm}D_s^\mp$ by use of the effective weak Hamiltonian and the factorization approximation. The contributions induced by the annihilation-type quark diagrams at the tree and penguin levels are expected to have significant form-factor suppression and will be neglected. The relevant $\Delta B = 1$ Hamiltonian can then be written as [8]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[(V_{cb}V_{cq}^*) \sum_{i=1}^2 (c_i O_i^c) - (V_{tb}V_{tq}^*) \sum_{i=3}^{10} (c_i O_i) \right] + \text{h.c.} , \quad (15)$$

where $q = d$ or s , c_i (for $i = 1, \dots, 10$) are the Wilson coefficients, and

$$\begin{aligned}O_1^c &= (\bar{c}b)_{V-A}(\bar{q}c)_{V-A} , & O_2^c &= (\bar{q}b)_{V-A}(\bar{c}c)_{V-A} , \\ O_3 &= (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} (\bar{q}'q')_{V-A} , & O_4 &= (\bar{q}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V-A} , \\ O_5 &= (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} (\bar{q}'q')_{V+A} , & O_6 &= (\bar{q}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V+A} , \\ O_7 &= \frac{3}{2}(\bar{q}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} [e_{q'}(\bar{q}'q')_{V+A}] , & O_8 &= \frac{3}{2}(\bar{q}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} [e_{q'}(\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V+A}] , \\ O_9 &= \frac{3}{2}(\bar{q}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} [e_{q'}(\bar{q}'q')_{V-A}] , & O_{10} &= \frac{3}{2}(\bar{q}_\alpha b_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q'} [e_{q'}(\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V-A}] .\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

Here $O_3, \dots, O_6$ denote the QCD-induced penguin operators, $O_7, \dots, O_{10}$ denote the electroweak penguin operators, and α and β are the $SU(3)$ color indices. To calculate the physical amplitude of an exclusive B decay mode, the Wilson coefficients and the relevant hadronic matrix element of four-quark operators need be evaluated in the same renormalization scheme and at the same energy scale. After this procedure [9] we are left with the effective Wilson coefficients c_i^{eff} , and they will enter the factorized decay amplitude in the following combinations [10]:

$$a_{2i}^{\text{eff}} = c_{2i}^{\text{eff}} + \frac{c_{2i-1}^{\text{eff}}}{N_c^{\text{eff}}} , \quad a_{2i-1}^{\text{eff}} = c_{2i-1}^{\text{eff}} + \frac{c_{2i}^{\text{eff}}}{N_c^{\text{eff}}} , \quad (17)$$

(for $i = 1, \dots, 5$), where N_c^{eff} is the effective number of colors. The values of a_i^{eff} for different N_c^{eff} can be found in Refs. [10, 11].

It is then straightforward to calculate the decay amplitudes of $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$, $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ and their CP -conjugated processes in the factorization scheme. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} D^+) &= \left\{ (V_{cb}^* V_{cd}) a_1^{\text{eff}} - (V_{tb}^* V_{td}) \left[(a_4^{\text{eff}} + a_{10}^{\text{eff}}) - (a_6^{\text{eff}} + a_8^{\text{eff}}) z_d \right] \right\} M_{cdc}^{D^+ D^{*-}} , \\ A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-) &= \left\{ (V_{cb}^* V_{cd}) a_1^{\text{eff}} - (V_{tb}^* V_{td}) (a_4^{\text{eff}} + a_{10}^{\text{eff}}) \right\} M_{cdc}^{D^{*+} D^-} , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*-} D_s^+) &= \left\{ (V_{cb}^* V_{cs}) a_1^{\text{eff}} - (V_{tb}^* V_{ts}) \left[(a_4^{\text{eff}} + a_{10}^{\text{eff}}) - (a_6^{\text{eff}} + a_8^{\text{eff}}) z_s \right] \right\} M_{csc}^{D_s^+ D_s^{*-}} , \\ A(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-) &= \left\{ (V_{cb}^* V_{cs}) a_1^{\text{eff}} - (V_{tb}^* V_{ts}) (a_4^{\text{eff}} + a_{10}^{\text{eff}}) \right\} M_{csc}^{D_s^{*+} D_s^-} , \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} M_{q_1 q_2 q_3}^{XX^*} &\equiv \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \langle X | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \langle X^* | (\bar{b} q_3)_{V-A} | B_q^0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} G_F m_{X^*} f_X A_0^{BX^*}(m_X^2) (\varepsilon_{X^*} \cdot k_{B_q}) , \\ M_{q_1 q_2 q_3}^{X^* X} &\equiv \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \langle X^* | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \langle X | (\bar{b} q_3)_{V-A} | B_q^0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} G_F m_{X^*} f_{X^*} F_1^{BX}(m_{X^*}^2) (\varepsilon_{X^*} \cdot k_{B_q}) , \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

are the factorized hadronic matrix elements expressed by relevant decay constants and form-factors, and

$$z_q \equiv \frac{2m_X^2}{(m_1 + m_2)(m_b + m_3)} \quad (21)$$

arises from transforming the $(V-A)(V+A)$ currents into the $(V-A)(V-A)$ ones for the penguin amplitudes. In Eqs. (20) and (21), k_{B_q} denotes the momentum of B_q meson ($q = d$ or s), and m_i is the mass of q_i quark ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

With the help of Eqs. (18) – (21) we are able to estimate the penguin contributions to the interference quantities $\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-}$ and $\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}$ given in Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively. As $|a_1^{\text{eff}}| \gg |a_i^{\text{eff}}|$ (for $i = 3, \dots, 10$) holds [10, 11], some analytical approximations can reliably be made. To the next-to-leading order we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-}| &= \left[1 - \left| \frac{V_{tb}V_{td}}{V_{cb}V_{cd}} \right| \frac{(a_6^{\text{eff}} + a_8^{\text{eff}})z_d}{a_1^{\text{eff}}} \cos \beta \right] \frac{M_{cdc}^{D^+D^{*-}}}{M_{cdc}^{D^{*+}D^-}} , \\ |\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}| &= \left[1 - \left| \frac{V_{tb}V_{ts}}{V_{cb}V_{cs}} \right| \frac{(a_6^{\text{eff}} + a_8^{\text{eff}})z_s}{a_1^{\text{eff}}} \cos \beta' \right] \frac{M_{csc}^{D_s^+D_s^{*-}}}{M_{csc}^{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}} . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Numerically one finds $|(V_{tb}V_{tq})/(V_{cb}V_{cq})| \approx 1$ for both $q = d$ and $q = s$ cases [3]. In addition, $|(a_6^{\text{eff}} + a_8^{\text{eff}})/a_1^{\text{eff}}| \approx |a_6^{\text{eff}}/a_1^{\text{eff}}| \approx 5\%$ [10, 11] and $z_d \approx z_s \approx 0.7$. Therefore the penguin contributions to $|\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-}|$ and $|\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}|$ are expected to be about 4% or smaller for arbitrary values of β and β' . Neglecting the penguin effects in such decay modes turns out to be a good approximation, leading to the following instructive result:

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-}| = \zeta_d &= \frac{M_{cdc}^{D^+D^{*-}}}{M_{cdc}^{D^{*+}D^-}} = \frac{f_D}{f_{D^*}} \cdot \frac{A_0^{B_d D^*}(m_D^2)}{F_1^{B_d D}(m_{D^*}^2)} , \\ |\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}| = \zeta_s &= \frac{M_{csc}^{D_s^+D_s^{*-}}}{M_{csc}^{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}} = \frac{f_{D_s}}{f_{D_s^*}} \cdot \frac{A_0^{B_s D_s^*}(m_{D_s}^2)}{F_1^{B_s D_s}(m_{D_s^*}^2)} . \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

As we have emphasized in Eq. (3), ζ_d and ζ_s are pure functions of decay constants and form-factors. Under $SU(3)$ symmetry $\zeta_s = \zeta_d$ holds. The measurement of these two parameters will provide a clean test of the factorization hypothesis.

At present it remains difficult to make reliable evaluation of the form-factors appearing in Eq. (3) or (23). From current data one can determine the ratio $f_{D_s^*}/f_{D_s} = 1.16 \pm 0.19$ [2], but little experimental knowledge about f_{D^*}/f_D is now available. For self-consistency we just use the model-dependent results of decay constants and formfactors in Ref. [12] to illustrate the ballpark values of ζ_d and ζ_s . We obtain $\zeta_d \approx 1.04$ and $\zeta_s \approx 1.03$. While these two numbers might not be trustworthy, they do reflect the qualitative expectation $\zeta_d \sim \zeta_s \sim 1$. In some sense the final states $D^{*\pm}D^\mp$ and $D_s^{*\pm}D_s^\mp$ may be treated as “quasi”- CP eigenstates, if the strong phases δ_d and δ_s are not significantly large. This feature makes these decay modes as interesting as $B_d \rightarrow D^+D^-$, $D^{*+}D^{*-}$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^+D_s^-$, $D_s^{*+}D_s^{*-}$ for the study of CP violation.

The result $\zeta_d \sim 1$ implies that the CP asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_d(t)$ in Eq. (13) can approximately be as large as $\sin(2\beta)\cos\delta_d$ in magnitude. Therefore we are able to get a significant CP -violating signal in $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm}D^\mp$ (e.g., for $|\cos\delta_d| > 0.5$) within the standard model. In

comparison, the CP asymmetry in $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ transitions are vanishingly small in the standard model.

4 Further discussions

We have discussed CP asymmetries and penguin effects in $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ transitions. A possibility to test the factorization hypothesis for such neutral- B decays has also been pointed out. Before ending this work we make two useful comments.

(1) Beyond the standard model, new physics may introduce an additional CP -violating phase into $B_d^0\text{-}\bar{B}_d^0$ or $B_s^0\text{-}\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing. In this case the weak phases to be measured from $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ decay modes will not be β and β' . For illustration we only consider the kinds of new physics that do not violate unitarity of the CKM matrix and have insignificant effects on the penguin channels of the above-mentioned decays [13]. Then $B_d^0\text{-}\bar{B}_d^0$ and $B_s^0\text{-}\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing phases can be written as

$$\frac{q_d}{p_d} = \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{tb} V_{td}^*} e^{i\phi_{\text{NP}}} , \quad \frac{q_s}{p_s} = \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{ts}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} e^{i\phi'_{\text{NP}}} , \quad (24)$$

in which ϕ_{NP} and ϕ'_{NP} denote the CP -violating phases induced by new physics. The overall weak phases of $\lambda_{D^{*+}D^-}$ and $\lambda_{D_s^{*+}D_s^-}$ turn out to be

$$2\beta \longrightarrow 2\beta + \phi_{\text{NP}} , \quad 2\beta' \longrightarrow 2\beta' + \phi'_{\text{NP}} , \quad (25)$$

respectively. Therefore only the combinations $(2\beta + \phi_{\text{NP}})$ and $(2\beta' + \phi'_{\text{NP}})$, instead of β and β' themselves, can be extracted from the proposed time-dependent measurements.

In principle it is possible to isolate ϕ_{NP} and ϕ'_{NP} from the decay modes $B_d \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0$ and $B_s \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0$ respectively, which occur only through the penguin-induced quark diagrams. Assuming the top-quark dominance, one obtains the relevant interference quantities between decay amplitudes and $B^0\text{-}\bar{B}^0$ mixing as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im} \left[\frac{q_d}{p_d} \cdot \frac{A(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0)}{A(B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0)} \right] &= \text{Im} \left(\frac{q_d}{p_d} \cdot \frac{V_{tb} V_{td}^*}{V_{tb}^* V_{td}} \right) = \sin \phi_{\text{NP}} , \\ \text{Im} \left[\frac{q_s}{p_s} \cdot \frac{A(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0)}{A(B_s^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0)} \right] &= \text{Im} \left(\frac{q_s}{p_s} \cdot \frac{V_{tb} V_{ts}^*}{V_{tb}^* V_{ts}} \right) = \sin \phi'_{\text{NP}} . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Unfortunately these decays have quite small branching ratios: $\mathcal{B}(B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0) \sim 10^{-6}$ [11] and $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0) \sim 10^{-5}$ [10]. Hence they could only be measured in the second-round experiments of B -meson factories.

(2) It is also worth pointing out that the joint decay of a coherent $B_d^0 \bar{B}_d^0$ pair into $D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ states on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, i.e.,

$$\left(B_{d,\text{phys}}^0 \bar{B}_{d,\text{phys}}^0\right)_{\Upsilon(4S)} \rightarrow (D^{*+} D^-)_{B_d} (D^{*-} D^+)_{B_d} ,$$

is interesting, although the decay rate is considerably suppressed (of order 10^{-8}). Following the general discussions made in Ref. [14], we explicitly obtain this joint decay rate (divided by $|A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-)|^4$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\Upsilon(4S)} &\propto \frac{2 + x_d^2}{1 + x_d^2} \left| \lambda_{D^{*+} D^-} - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{D^{*-} D^+}} \right|^2 + \frac{x_d^2}{1 + x_d^2} \left| 1 - \frac{\lambda_{D^{*+} D^-}}{\bar{\lambda}_{D^{*-} D^+}} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{2 + x_d^2}{1 + x_d^2} \left[\zeta_d^2 + \frac{1}{\zeta_d^2} - 2 \cos(\delta_d) \right] + \frac{4x_d^2}{1 + x_d^2} \sin^2(2\beta) . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We observe that the CP -violating contribution to the decay rate is significant and could finally be separated out. Such a measurement might be available in the second-round experiments of e^+e^- B -meson factories with about 10^{9-10} $B_d^0 \bar{B}_d^0$ events.

Our conclusion is simply that the decay modes $B_d \rightarrow D^{*\pm} D^\mp$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{*\pm} D_s^\mp$ are very useful for the study of CP violation and for the test of factorization. Some special attention is worth being paid to them in the upcoming experiments of B physics.

Acknowledgement

The author likes to thank H.J. Lipkin for useful discussions on this topic during NOW'98 in Amsterdam.

References

- [1] A.I. Sanda and Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 341.
- [2] For a review, see: M. Neubert and B. Stech, Report No. hep-ph/9705292.
- [3] Particle Data Group, C. Caso *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **3** (1998) 1.
- [4] I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B **193** (1981) 85;
L.L. Chau and H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **165** (1985) 429;
I. Dunietz and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986) 1404;
D. Du, I. Dunietz, and D.D. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986) 23414;
Z.Z. Xing and D. Du, Phys. Lett. B **276** (1992) 511;
R. Aleksan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **317** (1993) 173;
A. Deandrea *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **320** (1994) 170.
- [5] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **364** (1995) 55;
I. Dunietz, Phys. Lett. B **427** (1998) 179.
- [6] H. Fritzsch, D.D. Wu, and Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **328** (1994) 477;
Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) R2957.
- [7] M. Beneke *et al.*, Report No. hep-ph/9808385.
- [8] G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras, and M.E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68** (1996) 1125.
- [9] R. Fleischer, Z. Phys. C **62** (1994) 81.
- [10] Y.H. Chen, H.Y. Cheng, and B. Tseng, Report No. hep-ph/9809364;
H.Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Report No. hep-ph/9803457 (to appear in Phys. Rev. D).
- [11] A. Ali, G. Kramer, and C.D. Lü, Report No. hep-ph/9804363.
- [12] A. Deandrea *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **318** (1993) 549.
- [13] Y. Grossman, Y. Nir, and R. Rattazzi, Report No. CERN-TH-96-56; and references therein.
- [14] I.I. Bigi, Z. Phys. C **51** (1991) 267;
Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 204; **55** (1997) 196.